We are Chronically Sleep Deprived
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Summary: Data from recent laboratory studies indicate that nocturnal sleep periods reduced by as little as 1.3 to 1.5 hours for 1 night result in reduction of daytime alertness by as much as 32% as measured by the Multiple Sleep Latency Test (MSLT). Other data document that 1) 17%–57% of normal young adults have MSLT latencies of ≤5.5 minutes, whereas ≤50% have MSLT values of ≥10 minutes and 2) 28%–29% of young adults reported normally sleeping ≤6.5 hours on each weeknight. More extensive reduction of daily sleep amount is seen in night-shift workers. A minimum of 2%–4% of middle-aged adults have hypersomnolence associated with sleep apnea. Together, these data show that significant sleep loss exists in one-third or more of normal adults, that the effects are large and replicable and that similar effects can be produced in just 1 night in the laboratory. In light of the magnitude of this sleep debt, it is not surprising that fatigue is a factor in 57% of accidents leading to the death of a truck driver and in 10% of fatal car accidents and results in costs of up to 36 billion dollars per year. A recent sleep extension study suggests that the average underlying sleep tendency in young adults is about 8.5 hours per night. By comparison, the average reported sleep length of 7.2–7.4 hours is deficient, and common sleep lengths of ≤6.5 hours can be disastrous. We must recognize the alertness function of sleep and the increasing consequences of sleepiness with the same vigor that we have come to recognize the societal impact of alcohol. Key Words: Sleep deprivation—Sleepiness—Sleep disorders—Work schedule tolerance.

We are in the midst of a golden age of discovery of the intricate interrelationship between our nocturnal sleep process and our level of daytime function. Fueled by the discovery of the tremendous incidence of sleep apnea and periodic leg movements in the population, the relationship between fragmented sleep and residual sleepiness, as well as the increased ability to measure the level of objective sleepiness with an objective test [the Multiple Sleep Latency Test (MSLT)] and in ambulatory environments, the pervasive role of excessive sleepiness in our society is becoming apparent.

Much literature documents the negative effects of sleep deprivation on a wide range of psychomotor performance tasks and mood variables. For the sake of simplicity, the primary outcome measure reported in this paper will be MSLT. However, the MSLT findings reported are consistent with similar changes in a broad range of abilities, including reaction time, short-term memory, vigilance and mood (1).

Many empirical and applied studies have provided evidence for our national sleep debt. The degree of our weekly sleep loss can be measured by how long we continue to sleep when our alarm does not go off either in the famous Webb and Agnew (2) paradigm or in our own bedroom on Saturday morning. The degree of this debt can also be measured in the propensity to fall asleep rapidly.

Much sleep loss is the product of reduced and/or fragmented nocturnal sleep. For example, in one study in which total nocturnal sleep was reduced from 7.1 to 5.8 hours per night for 1 week, a significant decrease in MSLT (from 15.5 to 12.6 minutes) was found after the first night (3). In a study that involved over 100 young adults screened for normal sleep habits, lack of 908
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shiftwork or sleep pathology, and infrequent naps, 32% were found to have daytime nap latency values of \( \leq 5 \) minutes, and only 40% had nap latency values of \( > 10 \) minutes (5). Data from a large study by Levine et al. (6) indicated that 17% of their sample of normal young adults had average MSLT values of \( \leq 5 \) minutes, and only 50% had average MSLT latencies of \( \geq 10 \) minutes. In a study of 10th grade students who had a 7:20 a.m. school start time, 57% had MSLT latencies \( < 5.5 \) minutes (7). As has been noted, MSLT values in the pathological range are uncomfortably common in groups of normal young adults (8); they have been studied systematically by Roehrs et al. (9,10). These important papers have concluded that short MSLT values in normal young adults are most likely a function of chronic partial sleep deprivation and not an indication of simple ability to fall asleep, because increasing nocturnal sleep consistently increased the following MSLT latencies.

Partial sleep loss is a common condition in many segments of our society. A review of 50 random young adult normal sleeping applicants to participate in sleep studies revealed an average nocturnal sleep length of 7.4 hours (SD 1.3 hours). This value is in close agreement with other questionnaire studies (11,12). However, in this group, 28% reported normally sleeping \( \leq 6.5 \) hours each weeknight [as compared with 29% reported by Browman et al. (13)]. As would be expected, these young adults with short sleep lengths attempted to deal with their chronic sleep loss by increasing their sleep length on the weekend (80% of weekend sleep changes were increases; binomial probability = 0.053). In contrast, only 4 applicants reported sleeping \( \geq 9 \) hours on weeknights, and all 4 of those individuals reported decreased sleep length on the weekend. These data indicate that 1) almost one-third of normal young adults sleep \( \leq 6.5 \) hours each night; 2) almost one-third of normal young adults have laboratory sleep latencies of \( \leq 5 \) minutes and 3) significant reductions of up to 32% on MSLT have been shown after 1 night in two laboratory studies in which total sleep was almost 6 hours. Together these results show that significant sleep loss is common in normal young adults, that the effects are large and replicable and that these effects can be duplicated rapidly in the laboratory.

As these young adults graduate from college and assume the responsibilities of full-time jobs and families, pressure to sacrifice sleep only grows. In addition, with age, the incidence of fragmenting sleep disorders begins to increase. For example, 24% of a random sample of 65-year-olds had sleep apnea, and 45% had periodic leg movements (14,15). Thirty-nine percent of this older population reported problems with excessive daytime sleepiness at least once per week (14). A recent study of employed men and women age 30–60 found that 24% of the men and 9% of the women had sleep apnea (16). A smaller group, 2% of women and 4% of men, were judged to have both sleep apnea and daytime hypersomnia based on subjective reports, but objective laboratory measurements would probably indicate much higher levels of sleepiness. A minority of patients with major depression (10%–15%) and a group of patients with bipolar and seasonal affective disorder show hypersomnia and excessive daytime sleepiness. Based upon the prevalence of these disorders, these patients probably account for 1%–2% of the population (17,18).

Reduced and disturbed sleep has equally serious consequences for shift workers. Eighty-two percent of oil refinery shift workers stated that they suffered from lack of sleep (19). About 3.5% of workers in the U.S.A. work midnight shifts (20). Workers permanently assigned to the graveyard shift sleep on the average only 5.8–6.4 hours per day (21–23) when working that shift, whereas rotating shift workers sleep only 5.25–5.5 hours per day when working the night shift (21,24). Because this sleep also occurs at a poor circadian time, further decrease in alertness and performance would be expected (25). Regardless, current laboratory studies indicate that sleep lengths in the 5- to 6-hour range will result in the accumulation of significant sleepiness (3,4). Many important segments of society, including doctors, nurses, police, firemen, nuclear power plant operators and cross-country truck drivers are graveyard shift workers and are chronically sleep deprived when they are working at the nadir of their circadian rhythms. For example, a review of 10 studies of physician sleep in hospitals during “on-call” nights found that these doctors slept an average of 2.8 hours on these nights (1). Eight of the studies found significant decrements on at least one task after this night of reduced sleep, as would be expected. Because the data indicate that alertness deficits begin to develop after single sleep periods of almost 6 hours in length, it is clear that rotating and many permanent night shift workers work and drive home under the pressure of a significant sleep debt. It is therefore not surprising that sleepiness plays a prominent role in driving fatalities. For fatal car accidents, the three most common attributions as determined by traffic safety committees (26) are alcohol (18%), poor attention (15%) and sleepiness (10%). It is well known that all three of these factors interact.

In a group of train drivers, 70% reported that they had dozed off while driving a train. When the electroencephalograms (EEGs) of the drivers were actually recorded while driving, 36% reported falling asleep, and one actually failed to act on train stop signals during the time his EEG indicated drowsiness (27). These examples from laboratory studies can be compared with statistics from the National Transportation
Safety Board, which reports that fatigue was a factor in 57% of accidents leading to the death of a truck driver (28). When one considers that there are about 4,800 fatal accidents involving trucks each year, and that the cost associated with each fatal truck crash was at least $2,700,000, it can be seen that the costs of sleepiness in society are enormous. In fact, recent estimates indicate that the cost of only sleep-related accidents in the U.S.A. ranges from 2 to 56 billion dollars each year (29,30). These costs do not include lost productivity, medical illness or shortened life span secondary to sleepiness or sleep pathology.

It has been argued that the actual sleep requirement may be less than the total amount of sleep that can be accumulated during a 24-hour period (31). Evidence exists that normal young adults can sleep for 14.4 hours in a 24-hour period (32). Although some of this sleep may be “excess”, there is currently no way to determine what sleep may be excess and what may be required. In a study designed to mimic the 14-hour “night” of the winter dark period, Wehr et al. (33) found that young adults who had slept for about 7.2 hours on baseline nights slept consistently more for 28 days. Subjects slept in excess of 10 hours for 3 nights, probably as recovery from chronic partial sleep loss, and about 9.1 hours for the next 4 nights. Over the next 3 weeks, average total sleep was 8.8, 8.9 and 8.4 hours. This implies that the actual sleep need for these 7.2-hour sleepers was about 8.5 hours. Subjects did not report negative consequences of their “excess” sleep. In fact, they reported being significantly more energetic and happier, with less fatigue. Once again, these results probably indicate the degree of chronic partial sleep loss existing in most normal adults and the significant benefit of normalizing that sleep amount. The difference between 7.2 and 8.5 hours implies a chronic sleep loss of >1 hour per night. Further, only about 14% of young adults applying to participate in sleep studies reported weekday sleep lengths of ≥8.5 hours, and this also implies that chronic sleep loss is the norm and not the exception.

Perhaps a more profitable way of viewing sleep is as a logarithmic process where large benefits accrue in initial hours but increasingly small benefits continue to accrue as the length of the sleep period increases. Such a view would indicate that some loss of function would exist in any unnaturally terminated sleep period, but that such deficits might be small after long sleep periods. In fact, other studies of increasing time in bed have shown that an increase of time in bed from 8 to 10 hours will lengthen the MSLT by 1–5 minutes (8,9) and improve reaction time significantly (9). It is true that we exist in a society where we may choose to starve or become obese, to become impaired by alcohol or to become impaired by sleep deprivation. We are normally guided by standards of behavior, with normal weight/height tables as a standard of eating and blood alcohol levels as a standard of sobriety. In sleepiness, the only real standard is the MSLT, and values >10 minutes are typically considered “normal”. Having no expressible propensity to fall asleep is not abnormal, just as having no measurable alcohol in the blood is not abnormal. On the contrary, it is important to stress that unnaturally terminated or shortened sleep is the potentially pathological process that violates the standards of alertness. There is strong evidence that sufficient shortening or disturbance of the sleep process compromises mood, performance and alertness and can result in injury or death. In this light, the most common-sense “do no injury” medical advice would be to avoid sleep deprivation. The best means of achieving this goal is to determine an individual sleep need, i.e. going to bed in the evening when sleepy and awakening in the morning without an alarm and then observing the total time as the sleep period time.

To posit that any sleep length less than the empirically defined sleep need is sufficient, one must first show that there is no reduction in any function with a lower sleep quota in large groups of subjects and that decrements do not appear under chronic conditions. Finally, one must bear the responsibility for fatigue-related incidents following reduced-sleep regimens. Because studies have already shown significantly improved performance and alertness when time in bed is increased from 8 to 10 hours per night (8,9), we are certainly not ready to accept either the assertion that reduced sleep of any degree is benign or the responsibility when such benign sleep loss turns out to have hidden consequences. As our tests become more sensitive, the degree of sleep loss required to produce deficits has continued to decrease, yet many forces in our society continue to operate with the philosophy that sleep loss is unimportant or can be overcome by force of will. The idea that sleep loss is unimportant has resulted in tremendous personal and societal costs and is a position that we should have gained the wisdom to have outgrown.

Questionnaire studies of the prevalence of sleepiness in our society report widely divergent estimates, from 5% to 36% of the total population, based upon individual definitions of sleepiness (34). The data reviewed in this paper suggest that up to one-third of young adults may be excessively sleepy secondary to chronic partial sleep deprivation; perhaps 7% of middle-aged individuals are excessively sleepy secondary to sleep disorders, and another 2% are sleepy secondary to shift work. Considered together, these numbers certainly support the estimate that ≥36% of the population are suffering from sleep loss (34). One must also realize that it is common for people who are sleepy to deny

Sleep, Vol. 18, No. 10, 1995
that they are sleepy. How many of us feel like "just resting our eyes" for a few moments right now? Considering the magnitude of the problem of sleepiness, the best course of action must be to encourage all of our contemporaries to "sleep on it" a bit more.
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